UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,577	10/03/2005	Hisato Uto	Q90071	3885
23373 7590 01/16/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, ARTI R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/551,577	UTO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed CHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The solution of the	nis action is non-final vance except for formal matte	•		
Disposition of Claims	•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.			
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/03/05.	Paper No(s)	immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -		

Application/Control Number: 10/551,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The uses of Trademarks/Tradenames have been noted throughout this application. The specific name/mark should be in ALL CAPS, followed by either a trademark or copyright symbol and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of Trademarks/Tradenames is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks/names should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as a trademark or tradename. To describe physical or other properties of material by mere use of trademark is objectionable since it has tendency to make trademark descriptive of product rather than leaving trademark to serve its traditional purpose, which is to identify product's source of origin. (See Page 6, line 1 TSUNAMITM). Please check the entire specification and properly correct and all trademarks.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ...

Art Unit: 1771

must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a). In a nutshell a copy of the cited references have not provided, either in Japanese or English, nor is they're even an abstract to rely upon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 6183842 in view of JP 62-198455 A (as cited in the search report).
- 5. USPN 6183842 issued to Shimizu et al discloses making a decorative laminate comprising a substrate layer composed of a polyester type resin layer and a transfer foil laminated to it (abstract, column 4, line 31-column 5 line 5). The resin layer is may be embossed to created concavities to which the foil may be transferred upon (column4, line 63). Shimizu et al does not suggest scaring the resin layer.

As cited in the search report, which at this point the Examiner must believe, is true, since the Examiner cannot obtain the cited reference, nor has Applicant provided the same. However, the cited search report states that in Document 4 (JP 62-198455 under the heading "Effects of the Invention" that scraping is a well know technique and utilized by those skilled

Art Unit: 1771

in the art. Thus, a skilled artisan would have found it obvious to manipulate the surface of Shimizu et al, instead of embossing. One would have been motivated to scrape by hand instead of utilizing a machine embossing treatment motivated by the desire to reduce economical costs in manufacturing said composite.

Page 4

It should be noted the Merriam Webster's Dictionary defines scrape as

1 a: to remove from a surface by usually repeated strokes of an edged instrument b: to
make (a surface) smooth or clean with strokes of an edged instrument or an abrasive

2 a: to grate harshly over or against b: to damage or injure the surface of by contact with a
rough surface c: to draw roughly or noisily over a surface. Both of these definitions read on
embossing of a surface.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 9-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,577

Art Unit: 1771

Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771 Page 5

ars